



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/774,429		01/30/2001	Frederick William Strahm	10559/340001/P9885	10559/340001/P9885 3489	
20985	7590	09/30/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI	CHARD	SON, PC	HAMILTON, MONPLAISIR G			
12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO	J, CA 9	2130-2081		2135		
				DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/774,429	STRAHM ET AL.	A				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Monplaisir G Hamilton	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te. cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status			•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.	<u>lune 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.						
1	,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	-						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-30</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		••					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	o) [_] Other:						
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date :	20040908				

Aft Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/21/04 has been entered.

The communication filed on 6/21/04 amended Claims 15, 17, 23 and 24 and added claims 27-30. Claims 1-15 and 17-30 are pending.

Art Unit: 2135

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-15 and 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Minear et al (GB 2317792).

Referring to Claims 1 and 6:

Minear disclose a method comprising:

determining at a classifying forwarding element if a classification parameter is available for Internet Protocol security (IPsec) traffic that indicates a route for the IPsec traffic and classifying said traffic if available (col 7, lines 15-30);

if said classification parameter is not available, and the IPsec traffic is encrypted then decrypting traffic in a decrypting forwarding element after said traffic has passed through said classifying forwarding element (col 4, lines 10-15), and determining the classification parameter for the IPsec traffic at the decrypting forwarding element (col 9, lines 20-30); and

forwarding the IPsec traffic based on the classification parameter (col 10, lines 1-10).

Art Unit: 2135

Referring to Claim 11:

Minear discloses a system comprising:

a classifying forwarding element configured to communicate with a network, to determine a classification parameter that indicates a route for a traffic stream is available for a packet included in the traffic stream (col 9, lines 20-30); and

a decryption forwarding element configured to receive the packet from the classifying forwarding element, to perform an encryption-related procedure on the packet if the packet is encrypted and associated with a known encryption-related key (col 4, lines 10-15; col 7, lines 15-25;), and determine said classification parameter, if the classification parameter is available from either of said forwarding elements, to forward the packet based on the route for the traffic stream (col 7, lines 15-30).

Referring to Claims 2 and 7:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 1 and 6 above. Minear further discloses receiving the IPsec traffic at the classifying forwarding element (col 7, lines 20-30).

Referring to Claim 3 and 8:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 1 and 6 above. Minear further discloses the classification parameter includes a security parameter index (SPI) associated with the IPsec traffic (col 7, lines 15-25).

Art Unit: 2135

Referring to Claims 4 and 9:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 1 and 6 above. Minear further discloses the IPsec traffic includes a data packet (col 7, lines 15-30).

Referring to Claims 5 and 10:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 1 and 6 above. Minear further discloses forwarding other IPsec traffic included in a traffic stream with the IPsec traffic based on the classification parameter (col 8, lines 25-30; col 9, lines 5-15).

Referring to Claim 12:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 11 above. Minear further discloses a third mechanism configured to communicate with the classifying forwarding element and with the decryption forwarding element and to determine a classification parameter for the packet if a classification parameter is not available (col 7, line 20-col 8, line 15).

Referring to Claim 13:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 12 above. Minear further discloses the second mechanism is also configured to forward the packet to the third mechanism if the packet is not associated with a known encryption-related key (col 9, lines 15-30; col 17, lines 30-40).

Art Unit: 2135

Referring to Claim 14:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 12 above. Minear further discloses the third mechanism is also configured to, after determining the classification parameter for the packet, forward the classification parameter to the first mechanism (col 9, lines 10-30).

Referring to Claim 15:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 12 above. Minear further discloses the third mechanism is also configured to, after determining the encryption-related key for the packet, forward the encryption-related key to the decryption forwarding element so that the decryption forwarding element can perform the encryption-related procedure (col 7, lines 15-30).

Referring to Claim 17:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 11 above. Minear further discloses a plurality of additional mechanisms, each additional mechanism configured to communicate with the classification forwarding device to perform an encryption-related procedure on the packet if the packet is encrypted and associated with a known encryption-related key (col 7, line 25-col 8, line 15), and, if the classification parameter is available, to forward the packet based on the route for the traffic stream (col 8, lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2135

Referring to Claim 18.

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 11 above. Minear further discloses the packet includes an Internet Protocol security data packet (col 8, lines 15-30).

Referring to Claim 19:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 11 above. Minear further discloses the traffic stream includes a plurality of Internet Protocol security data packets (col 8, lines 15-30).

Referring to Claim 20:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 11 above. Minear further discloses the first mechanism is also configured to forward the packet to the second mechanism if the packet is encrypted (col 9, lines 25-30).

Referring to Claim 21:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 11 above. Minear further discloses the route for the traffic stream includes a route through a network (col 5, lines 20-30; col 10, lines 1-15).

Referring to Claim 22:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 21 above. Minear further discloses the network includes an Internet (Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2135

Referring to Claim 23:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 11 above. Minear further discloses the encryption-related procedure includes encrypting the packet (col 21, lines 1-25).

Referring to Claim 24:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 11 above. Minear further discloses the encryption-related procedure includes decrypting the packet (col 21, lines 15-25).

Referring to Claim 25:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 11 above. Minear further discloses another mechanism configured to receive the packet from the second mechanism and to forward the packet based on the route to an ultimate destination of the packet (col 19, lines 1-10; col 22, lines 20-30).

Referring to Claim 26:

Minear discloses the limitations of Claims 11 above. Minear further discloses the first mechanism is also configured to route packets included in the traffic stream based on a load balancing scheme (col 19, lines 5-15; col 22, lines 5-20).

Art Unit: 2135

3. Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a, e) as being anticipated by Narad et al (US 6,157,955).

Referring to Claim 27:

Narad discloses a first classifying forwarding element in communication with a network, the first classifying forwarding element having an output (col 40, lines 25-40);

a plurality of decrypting forwarding elements in communication with the output of the first classifying forwarding element, each of the plurality of decrypting forwarding elements having an output (col 9, lines 25-50; col 13, lines 30-50; col 16, lines 5-30);

a control element in communication with the first classifying forwarding element and the plurality of decrypting forwarding elements (col 6, lines 55-col 7, line 10); and

one or more second classifying forwarding elements in communication with one or more outputs of the decrypting forwarding elements, the one or more second classifying forwarding elements different than the first classifying forwarding element, the one or more second classifying forwarding elements each having an output (col 13, lines 30-45; col 14, lines 20-40).

Referring to Claim 28:

Narad discloses the limitation of Claim 27 above. Narad further discloses one or more servers in communication with the output of at least one of the one or more second classifying forwarding elements (col 6, lines 40-65).

Art Unit: 2135

Referring to Claim 29:

Narad discloses the limitation of Claim 28 above. Narad further discloses the control element includes security information for the one or more Servers (col 10, lines 15-40).

Referring to Claim 30:

Narad discloses the limitation of Claim 29 above. Narad further discloses the security information includes one or more access tokens (col 9, lines 25-40; col 72, lines 30-40).

Prior Art

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 6253321 issued to Nikander, Pekka et al. Nikander discloses a data processing system implements a security protocol based on processing data in packets. The data processing system comprises processing packets for storing filter code and processing data packets according to stored filter code, and a policy managing function for generating filter code and communicating generated filter code for packet processing. The packet processing function is arranged to examine, whether the stored filter code is applicable for processing a certain packet. If the stored filter code is not applicable for the processing of a packet, the packet is communicated to the policy managing function, which generates filter code applicable for the processing of the packet and communicates the generated filter code for packet processing.

Art Unit: 2135

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monplaisir G Hamilton whose telephone number is (703) 305-5116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monplaisir Hamilton

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMELY TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100